UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/618,379 | 07/11/2003 | . Jalpesh Patadia | BEAS-01362US0 | 4910 |
| 23910 FLIESLER ME | 7590 10/30/200 EYER LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 650 CALIFOR | NIA STREET | LONG, ANDREA NATAE | | |
| 14TH FLOOR SAN FRANCIS | SCO, CA 94108 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 2176 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | , | 10/30/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| • | | | · _ / | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | | 10/618,379 | PATADIA ET AL. | | | |
| · | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| , | | Andrea N. Long | 2176 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 6(a). In no event, however, may a re rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA | ATION. ply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Se | eptember 2007. | • | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-22 and 24-33 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-22 and 24-33 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 10) 🗍 | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceeds a pplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification. | epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is required if the drawing(s | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information | et(s) See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet | Paper No(s) | ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application | | | |

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :05/23/2007 09/04/2007 06/08/2007 10/12/2007.

FINAL ACTION

Applicant's Remarks

1. Claims 1, 3-4, 12, 14, 22, 24-25, and 33 have been amended, claims 2, 13, 23, and 34-44 have been cancelled. The objection of claims 3, 14, 24, and 36 as having minor informalities, is withdrawn. The rejection of claims 33 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is moot. The rejection of claims 34-44 under 35 U.S.C. 101 is moot.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 22 recites the limitation "the VCR" in line 10 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,379 Page 3

Art Unit: 2176

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-10, 12, 14-20, 22, 24-31, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al (Pub. No.: US 2003/0120686 A1), hereinafter "Kim" in view of Emmanuel Tanyi (Easy XML, 2000), hereinafter "Tanyi" in further view of Park et al (Pub. No.: US 2004/0024812 A1), hereinafter "Park".

As to independent claim 1, Kim teaches an interactive tool for viewing and manipulating a virtual content repository (VCR) having an application program interface (API), comprising (page 2 paragraph [0020] → Kim discloses a graphical user interface environment to allow a user to visually manipulate and operate information associated with a tree structure):

providing a first graphical user interface (GUI) configured to present a hierarchical namespace that spans information in the virtual content repository wherein the namespace includes at least one element, and wherein one of the at least one element can be selected (Fig. 7A reference characters 702 & 704, page 6 paragraph [0082] \rightarrow Kim teaches a tree structure displayed with selectable elements, the elements are expandable to view additional information);

Page 4

Art Unit: 2176

providing a second GUI configured to present and to enable editing of content associated with the selected element in the first GUI (Fig. 7B reference character 722, Fig. 7C, page 7 paragraphs [0087] [0088] -> Kim teaches having associated information of the selected element displayed in a second screen which allows for editing of an HTML file); and wherein the VCR logically represents a plurality of content repositories as a single content repository (Fig. 8B \rightarrow Kim teaches a single content repository "recipe" and a plurality of content repositories "document" "recipe" ingredient" which make up one single repository), which includes a service provider interface (page 4 paragraph [0059] \rightarrow Kim teaches having to access files on a service server. Kim implies providing a third GUI for modifying the schema of a selected element but does not forcefully teach it, (Fig. 8A reference characters 806 & 808) nor does Kim forcefully teach wherein the SPI is compatible with an API. Tanyi teaches providing a GUI configured to present and to enable editing of schema associated with the selected element in a first GUI (pages 4 and 5 \rightarrow Tanyi teaches having a dialogue box appear when a selection of a node for editing the schema is executed). Park teaches a content publication system contains a content repository, which includes a SPI compatible with an API (page 3 paragraphs [0033][0041], page 6 paragraph $[0069] \rightarrow Park$ teaches the content producer can use the content manipulation API in the service publication server).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the interactive tool of Kim with the third GUI of Tanyi to offer a simple user interface that represents multiple views of XML data and rapidly create and manipulate XML structures (page 1, Tanyi) in addition to combining the compatibility of a SPI and an API to

allow for different devices to generate programs for different device output formats and storing the programs in the web server (page 2 paragraph [0015], Park).

As to dependent claim 3, Kim teaches wherein an element can be a content node (page 6 paragraph [0082]).

As to dependent claim 4, Kim in view of Tanyi teaches interactive tool for viewing and manipulating a virtual content repository. Kim does not teach an SPI enabling a plurality of content repositories to be integrated into a VCR. Park teaches wherein the SPI enables a plurality of content repositories to be integrated into the VCR (page 3 paragraph [0035] → Park teaches having an integrate search service for integrating data from various sources and allowing for search based on search conditions).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the interactive tool of Kim in view of Tanyi with the enabling of Park to allow for different devices to generate programs for different device output formats and storing the programs in the web server (page 2 paragraph [0015], Park).

As to dependent claim 5, Kim teaches the first GUI presents the namespace as a tree (Fig. 7A).

As to dependent claim 6, Kim teaches the first GUI can selectively present nodes having only content or schemas (Fig. 7A → Kim teaches the nodes being collapsible or expandable by use of + and – blocks located beside the nodes).

As to dependent claim 7, Kim teaches interactive tool for viewing and manipulating a virtual content repository. Kim does not teach a second GUI presenting all properties and values associated with a selected element. Tanyi teaches the second GUI can present all properties and values associated with the selected element in the first GUI (page 3 → Tanyi teaches when an item is selected "AUCTIONBLOCK", all of the elements associated properties and values are presented to a user).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the interactive tool of Kim with the presenting of properties and values of Tanyi to offer a simple user interface that represents multiple views of XML data and rapidly create and manipulate XML structures (page 1, Tanyi).

As to dependent claim 8, Kim teaches interactive tool for viewing and manipulating a virtual content repository. Kim does not teach a third GUI for presenting property attributes associated with a selected element. Tanyi teaches the third GUI can present all property attributes associated with the selected element in the first GUI (page 3 → Tanyi teaches displaying all property attributes of the selected element such as "title", "artist", "dimensions", etc).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the interactive tool of Kim with the presenting of properties attributes of Tanyi to offer a simple user interface that represents multiple views of XML data and rapidly create and manipulate XML structures (page 1, Tanyi).

As to dependent claim 9, Kim teaches interactive tool for viewing and manipulating a virtual content repository. Kim does not teach allowing elements to be moved copied or deleted. Tanyi teaches the first GUI allows elements to be moved "Element to Attribute", copied "Duplicate Element Sub Tree", and deleted "Delete Element Only" from the namespace (page 4).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the interactive tool of Kim with the modifying elements of Tanyi to offer a simple user interface that represents multiple views of XML data and rapidly create and manipulate XML structures (page 1, Tanyi).

As to dependent claim 10, Kim teaches interactive tool for viewing and manipulating a virtual content repository. Kim does not teach a selection of an element in a first GUI causes presentation in a third GUI. Tanyi teaches selection of an element in the first GUI causes the presentation of the third GUI (page 4).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the interactive tool of Kim with the selecting and presenting of elements of

Tanyi to offer a simple user interface that represents multiple views of XML data and rapidly create and manipulate XML structures (page 1, Tanyi).

As to independent claim 12, claim 12 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 1, and in further view of the following, is rejected along the same rationale.

Park teaches wherein the SPI enables a plurality of content repositories to be integrated integrating data from various sources and allowing for search based on search conditions).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the interactive tool of Kim with the third GUI of Tanyi to offer a simple user interface that represents multiple views of XML data and rapidly create and manipulate XML structures (page 1, Tanyi) in addition to the enabling by the SPI of Park to allow for different devices to generate programs for different device output formats and storing the programs in the web server (page 2 paragraph [0015], Park).

As to dependent claim 14, Kim teaches wherein an element can be a content node (page 6 paragraph [0082]).

As to dependent claim 15, Kim teaches the first GUI presents the namespace as a tree (Fig. 7A).

Application/Control Number: 10/618,379

Art Unit: 2176

As to dependent claim 16. Kim teaches the first GUI can selectively present nodes

having only content or schemas (Fig. $7A \rightarrow Kim$ teaches the nodes being collapsible or

expandable by use of + and – blocks located beside the nodes).

As to dependent claim 17, Kim teaches interactive tool for viewing and manipulating a

virtual content repository. Kim does not teach a second GUI presenting all properties and

values associated with a selected element. Tanyi teaches the second GUI can present all

properties and values associated with the selected element in the first GUI (page 3 \rightarrow Tanyi

teaches when an item is selected "AUCTIONBLOCK", all of the elements associated properties

and values are presented to a user).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to

have combined the interactive tool of Kim with the presenting of properties and values of Tanyi

to offer a simple user interface that represents multiple views of XML data and rapidly create

and manipulate XML structures (page 1, Tanyi).

As to dependent claim 18, Kim teaches interactive tool for viewing and manipulating a

virtual content repository. Kim does not teach a third GUI for presenting property attributes

associated with a selected element. Tanyi teaches the third GUI can present all property

attributes associated with the selected element in the first GUI (page $3 \rightarrow$ Tanyi teaches

displaying all property attributes of the selected element such as "title", "artist", "dimensions",

etc).

Application/Control Number: 10/618,379

Art Unit: 2176

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to

have combined the interactive tool of Kim with the presenting of properties attributes of Tanyi

to offer a simple user interface that represents multiple views of XML data and rapidly create

and manipulate XML structures (page 1, Tanyi).

As to dependent claim 19, Kim teaches interactive tool for viewing and manipulating a

virtual content repository. Kim does not teach allowing elements to be moved copied or

deleted. Tanyi teaches the first GUI allows elements to be moved "Element to Attribute",

copied "Duplicate Element Sub Tree", and deleted "Delete Element Only" from the namespace

(page 4).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to

have combined the interactive tool of Kim with the modifying elements of Tanyi to offer a

simple user interface that represents multiple views of XML data and rapidly create and

manipulate XML structures (page 1, Tanyi).

As to dependent claim 20, Kim teaches interactive tool for viewing and manipulating a

virtual content repository. Kim does not teach a selection of an element in a first GUI causes

presentation in a third GUI. Tanyi teaches selection of an element in the first GUI causes the

presentation of the third GUI (page 4).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to

have combined the interactive tool of Kim with the selecting and presenting of elements of

Tanyi to offer a simple user interface that represents multiple views of XML data and rapidly create and manipulate XML structures (page 1, Tanyi).

As to independent claims 22 and 33, they incorporate substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 1, and are rejected along the same rationale.

As to dependent claims 24-31, they are rejected under the same rationale as claims 3-10 respectively.

6. Claims 11, 21, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim in view of Tanyi in further view of Park in further view of IBM TDB (Method and System for Visually Constructing Document Type Definitions and Related Artifacts Using a Reusable Object Model, 2001), hereinafter "IBM TDB".

As to dependent claim 11, Kim in view of Tanyi in further view of Park teaches providing GUIs to present and enable editing of associated data of a selected node in a first GUI. However, Kim in view of Tanyi in further view of Park does not teach providing a fourth GUI configured to present and to enable editing of configuration parameters associated with a selected content repository or root node in the first GUI. IBM TDB teaches having a visual DTD Editor for constructing DTD. IBM TDB further teaches wherein the DTD includes parameters (Page 1). While IBM TDB does not forcefully disclose "configuration" parameters

Application/Control Number: 10/618,379

Art Unit: 2176

and a GUI, DTDs act to "configure" a document, since DTDs define documents accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to interpret IBM TDB as directed to configuration parameters and extend Kim's GUIs to accept IBM TDB's DTD editing (i.e. as a 4th GUI) for constructing features of configuration and to have them displayed in a GUI to allow a user to modify the way a system is set up for a particular element based on their personal preferences.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have combined the features of Kim in view of Tanyi in further view of Park with the constructing of parameters of IBM TDB to offer a simple user interface that represents multiple views of XML data and rapidly create and manipulate XML structures.

As to dependent claim 21, Kim in view of Tanyi in further view of Park teaches providing GUIs to present and enable editing of associated data of a selected node in a first GUI. However, Kim in view of Tanyi in further view of Park does not teach providing a fourth GUI configured to present and to enable editing of configuration parameters associated with a selected content repository or root node in the first GUI. IBM TDB teaches having a visual DTD Editor for constructing DTD. IBM TDB further teaches wherein the DTD includes parameters (Page 1). While IBM TDB does not forcefully disclose "configuration" parameters and a GUI, DTDs act to "configure" a document, since DTDs define documents accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to interpret IBM TDB as directed to configuration parameters and extend Kim's GUIs to accept IBM TDB's DTD editing (i.e. as a 4th GUI) for constructing features of configuration and to have them displayed in a GUI to allow

a user to modify the way a system is set up for a particular element based on their personal preferences.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have combined the features of Kim in view of Tanyi in further view of Park with the constructing of parameters of IBM TDB to offer a simple user interface that represents multiple views of XML data and rapidly create and manipulate XML structures.

As to dependent claim 32, they are rejected under the same rationale as claim 11.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 09/04/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that the new limitations of "spans information in the virtual content repository" and "logically represents a plurality of content repositories as a single content repository" is not taught or suggested by the currently cited references.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The span of information and the plurality of content repositories can be seen in Fig. 8B of Kim, which discloses elements of the repository be expanded to view additional information and provides multiple content repositories such as "recipe", "image", and "ingredient" combined to make-up a single repository "recipe".

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrea N. Long whose telephone number is 571-270-1055. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs 6:00 am to 3:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Hutton can be reached on 571-272-4137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,379 Page 15

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrea Long October 24, 2007

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER